SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THE IMPROVED GOAT FARMING PRACTICES ON TRIBAL

R.L. Soni*, Rajeev Berathi** and R.S. Rathore***

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted in ten adopted villages viz., Masotia, Nokala, Devlia and Sageta of block Talwara, and Sagwaria, Devana, Sundni, Jantora, Bhudanpura, Vajakhara of Block Garhi District Banswara, Rajasthan. Total 62 goat farmers were selected Because of 62 Goat Units were given to tribals in NAIP component III by KVK Banswara's. The study was undertaken after conducting transfer of technology programs on different improved goat production practices in above adopted villages. Majority of the selected respondents goat farmers learnt more skill on use of lime for sanitation followed by use of vaccine like, PPR, ET,FMD etc., proper housing management for keeping goats, use of salt, deworming of goats, feeding of colostrum to kids in time, keeping improved bucks, plantation/keeping fodder trees/grasses, use of mineral mixture or khadia, dipping of goats to control ectoparasite etc. Goat has been a ready cash riding dependence on high cost private credit, increased share of income from goat to family's total income, increase profit/goat/annum, increased awareness about commercial goat farming and its advantages, increased access to goat milk for family consumption and increase in employment generation through goats reported by the 98.38, 96.77, 88.77, 80.64, 72.58 and 100 percent selected respondents goat farmers as socioeconomic indicators respectively. Similarly, bicycle, construction of house, construction of goat shed, television/ radio, scooter/motor cycle, jewellery, children education and Mobile phone reported by the 56.45,48.38,80.64,32.25,8.06,27.41and25.17 percent selected respondents goat farmers as status of family's assets, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

The Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Banswara had made extension efforts for transferring the improved goat production practices to the end users. These efforts were done in one ICAR funded project namely "Livelihood and nutritional security of tribal dominated areas through integrated farming system and technology models". Therefore, it is necessary to conduct impact study of these practices for need based research and development of appropriate production systems. With this ideology in view, an attempt has been made to study the socio-economic impact of the transferred improved goat production practices in adopted villages with the following objectives:

- 1. To study the skill improvement of selected goat farmers.
- 2. To study the socio-economic indicators of selected farmers.

3. To study the status of family's assets of selected goat farmers.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted in ten adopted villages viz, Masotia, Nokala, Devlia and Sageta of block- Talwara, and Sagwaria, Deyana, Jantora, Bhudanpura, Vajakhara of Block Garhi Distt. Banswara, Rajasthan. These all villages are adopted by KVK under NAIP Project. The investigation was undertaken after Providing one unit of goat (Two goats with kids) and conducting transfer of technology programmes on different improved goat production practices in above adopted villages. Fifteen improved goat production practices, six socio-economic indicators and eight status of family's assets were identified with the help of experts and goat farmers for this study. The data

*** Associate Professor, Directorate of Extension Education, MPUAT, Udaipur.

^{*} Programme Coordinator, KVK, Banswara.

^{**} Associate Professor, Directorate of Extension Education, MPUAT, Udaipur.

Socio-Economic impact of the improved goat farming practices on Tribal

were collected through personal interview with the help of pre-tested structured schedule. The data collected were tabulated and statistical tools like frequency and percentage were used for logical conclusion.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings on the socio-economic impact of the transferred improved goat production practices in adopted villages are presented and discussed in terms of skill, socio-economic indicators and status of family's assets.

Practice wise skill improvement in recommended / demonstrated improved goat production practices :

Fifteen practices in goat rearing as recommended/ demonstrated by Krishi Vigyan Kendra on Goats in ten adopted villages were considered for assessing the skill improvement. The Data generated on this aspect were analyzed and presented in Table 1.

 Table 1. Distribution of selected goat farmers according to skill improvement in improved goat production practices
 (n= 62)

S. No.	Learnt more skill on	Frequency	Per cent
1	Use of line for sanitation	57	91.93
2	Use of vaccine like, PPR, ET, FMD etc.	56	90.32
3	Proper housing management for keeping goats.	55	88.7
4	Use of salt in diet.	54	87.1
5	Deworming of goats.	53	85.48
6	Feeding of colostrums to kids in time.	52	83.87
7	Keeping improved bucks.	50	80.64
8	Plantation/keeping of fodder trees/grasses.	47	69.35
9	Use of mineral mixture or khadia.	45	72.58
10	Dipping of goats to control ectoparasite.	42	67.74
11	Preparation of goat milk ghee.	21	32.87
12	Use of Berseem culture.	21	32.87
13	Keeping of appropriate proportion between male and female.	20	32.25
14	Making of pelleted feed.	7	11.3
15	Weeding in fodder crops.	4	6.45

It could be seen from Table1 that 15 practices recommended /demonstrated by KVK Banswara in goat rearing in adopted villages. The majority of the selected respondents goat farmers learnt more skill on use of lime for sanitation (91.93%), use of vaccine like, PPR, ET, FMD etc., (90.32%), proper housing management for keeping goats (88.77%), use of salt (87.1%), deworming of goats (85.48%), feeding of colostrum to kids in time (83.87%), keeping improved bucks (80.64%), plantation/ keeping of fodder trees/grasses (69.35%) and use of mineral mixture or khadia (72.58%). Anthalt (1994) reported that he skills are required by the extension agents to diagnose farmers problems and the willingness to do so effectively, listen to and learn from farmers and the willingness to do so, communicate effectively with farmers and farmers groups, present options based on principles of

science and good agricultural practices which widen the real choices available to farm families and work under complex and fluid circumstances with little supervision. The skill level seemed to be poor in the areas of dipping of goats to control ectoparasite preparation of goat milk ghee, use of berseem culture, keeping of appropriate proportion between male and female, making of pelleted feed and weeding in fodder crops. Trainability refers to a person's ability to acquire the skills, knowledge or behaviour necessary to perform a job at a given level and to achieve these outcomes in a given time (Robertson and Downs, 1979).

Socio-economic indicators: The socioeconomic indicators of selected respondents goat farmers were selected, tabulated and presented in Table 2.

		· · · · ·	
S. No.	Particulars	f	%
1	Goat has been a ready cash riding dependence on high cost private credit.	61	98.38
2	Increased share of income from goat to family's total income	60	96.77
3	Increase profit/goat/annum	55	88.7
4	Increased awareness about commercial goat farming and its advantages	50	80.64
5	Increased access to goat milk for family consumption.	45	72.58
6	Increase in employment generation through goats.	62	100

Table 2. Distribution of selected goat farmers with respect to socio-economic indicators

It is clear from Table 2 that majority of the selected respondents goat farmers (98.38%) reported that goat has been a ready cash riding dependence on high cost private credit followed by increased share of income from goat to family's total income (96.77%), increase profit/goat/annum (88.70%), increased awareness about commercial goat farming and its advantages (80.64%), increased access to goat milk for family consumption (72.58%) and increase in employment generation through goats (100%). Kumar and Singh (2005) also observed that the goats have become steadily important in the rural economy particularly in the arid, semi-arid and mountainous regions of the country. Similarly, goat provided an opportunity for efficient utilization of family labour (Kumar and Deoghare, 2003).

Status of family's assets : The status of family's assets of selected goat farmers presented in Table 3.

 Table 3. Distribution of goat farmers based on status of family's assets

			(n=62)
Sr. No.	Particulars	f	%
1	Purchased Bicycle.	35	56.45
2	Construction of house.	30	48.38
3	Construction of goat shed.	50	80.64
4	Television/Radio	20	32.25
5	Scooty /Motor Cycle.	5	8.06
6	Children Education	17	27.41
7	jewellery.	3	4.8
8	Mobile Phone.	15	25.19

It may be seen from the table 3 that 56.45 percent of the selected goat farmers had possessed bicycle and construction of house and goat shed

reported by 48.38 and 80.64 percent, respectively. 32.25 percent of each possessed television and radio. The data shows that 27.41 per cent farmers send their children in English school and 25.19 per cent purchage mobile phones. There were fewer respondents having scooter/motor cycle, jewellery . Acharya and Singh (1992) also highlighted the crucial role of the goats in livelihood security of resource poor rural households.

(n=62)

CONCLUSION

The study indicated that recommended / demonstrated practices in goat rearing, the majority of the selected goat farmers learnt more skill on use of lime for sanitation, use of vaccine like, PPR, ET, FMD etc., proper housing management for keeping goats, use of salt, deworming of goats, feeding of colostrum to kids in time, keeping improved bucks, plantation/ keeping of fodder trees/ grasses and use of mineral mixture or khadia.

In the case of socio-economic indicators, majority of the selected respondents goat farmers had reported that the goat has been a ready cash riding dependence on high cost private credit, increased share of income from goat to family's total income, increase profit/goat/annum and increased awareness about commercial goat farming and its advantages. Most of the selected goat farmers (80.64%) had constructed goat shed as assets.

REFERENCES

Acharya, R.M. and Singh, N.P. 1992. The Role of Goats in Conservation of Ecology and Livelihood Security. *Pre-Conference Proceedings, V International Conference on Goats*, pp: 81-99.

Anthalt, C.H. 1994. Getting Ready for the Twenty-First

Socio-Economic impact of the improved goat farming practices on Tribal

Century: Technical, Change and Institutional Modernization in Agriculture World Bank, Washington, D.C.

- Kumar, S. and Singh, N.P. 2005. Economics of Small Ruminant Production in Dry Regions. National Symposium of RMSI on "Augmenting Forage Resources in Arid and Semi-arid Region: Long Term Strategies" at Jaipur on November 19-20, pp : 489-498.
- Kumar, S. and Deoghare, P.R. 2003. Goat Production System and Livelihood Security of Rural Landless Households. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants*, 9 (1): 19-24.
- Robertson, I. and Dawns, S. 1979. Learning and the Prediction of Performance. Development of Trainability Testing in the United Kingdom, *J. Appl. Psychol.*, 64: 42-50.