CONSTRAINTS IN RAPESEED AND MUSTARD CULTIVATION IN RESPECT OF SOIL TECHNOLOGY AMONG SMALL FARMERS

P. Singh* , K. Singh** and J.P. Lakhera***

ABSTRACT
The study has been conducted to analyses the main reasons for technological gap of Rapeseed and Mustard cultivation, in respect of soil technology, among small farmers of Bharatpur District of Rajasthan. 108 small farmers have been interviewed with the help of well structured interview schedule. Only percentage was employed to discuss the results derived regarding the technology gap in Rapeseed and Mustard crop in respect to soil technology. Lack of soil testing facility, lack of extension personnel interest, lack of awareness about importance of soil testing results and non-availability of results of soil testing Lack of knowledge about chemical used in soil treatment chemicals required for soil treatment are costly, ‘Lack of awareness’, ‘Lack of resources’, ‘Not convinced about usefulness of summer ploughing’, ‘Lack of finance’, ‘High cost is involved in improved implements’ under tillage technology were some of the important reasons of technological gap of rapeseed and mustard production technology.

INTRODUCTION
During the year 1989-90 maximum numbers of programmes were launched to pace the production of oilseeds crops in the country. As a result groundnut production increase fairly but mustard could register only marginal increase in production. It is well known fact that agricultural scientists have recommended a number of improved farm techniques to get the higher yield of mustard but the adoption of these technologies did not received due attention due some reasons. From the available technologies hardly 50.00 per cent technologies were adopted by 20.00 per cent farmers. Even if technologies has been adopted by big or affluent farmers, small and marginal farmers could not convinced with their benefits. It shows that the techniques which were developed to boost upto the rapeseed and mustard production not reached actually its destination. There is a big gap between the techniques available at research station and the techniques in the farmer’s hand. Therefore, it is essential to know the possible reasons for existing gap in mustard cultivation with regards to soil technology. The study was undertaken with the following objectives:

(1) To identify major constraints responsible for low adoption of soil technology in mustard cultivation.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
This study was conducted in the Bharatpur district of eastern Rajasthan. Eastern Rajasthan is considered economically backward and dense populated area in comparison to other part of state. Bharatpur. The district is very rich in terms of soil but the average mustard production of the district was farm in about 9.79 qtlha, while average yield of mustard in Rajasthan in the year 1995-96 was 11.07 qtlha which shows a big gap in production.

There are total 9 Panchayat Samities in the district, out of which 3 Panchayat Samities have been selected for the study. From each selected Panchayat Samiti 9 villages has been selected from each village 12 small farmers were selected. This way 108 representative samples for mustard growers were selected as a respondent of the study. The ‘Random Sampling’ method was employed in case of selection of Panchayat Samities, villages and respondents.
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The present study was based on both primary and secondary types of data. The primary data was collected with the help of well structured interview schedule. The data so collected were classified, tabulated, analysed and simple percentage was used to describe the reasons for technological gap in mustard cultivation, pertaining to soil technology. The statistical measurements Chi-square and coefficient correlation was used to find out the relationship between socioeconomic background of the respondents and extent of adoption of new technology of rapeseed and mustard cultivation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings regarding possible reasons responsible for technological gap of soil technology are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 clearly indicates, that in case of ‘Soil testing technology’, small farmers have experienced the problem of ‘Soil testing’, ‘Lack of soil testing facilities’ because the agriculture department not approach to the farmers (95.37%), ‘Lack of extension personnel’s interest in soil testing’ (55.55%), ‘Lack of awareness and non-availability results of soil testing (46.29%)’, ‘Lack of knowledge about soil sampling methods (42.59) etc.

The table further indicates, that in case of ‘Soil treatment’ technology, 72.22 per cent small farmers reported ‘Lack of knowledge about chemical used in soil treatment’ while, 60.18 per cent expressed that they were not using chemicals because ‘Chemicals are costly’. ‘Lack of awareness, ‘Lack of finance for purchase of chemicals and lack of assured irrigation facility have been reported as major constraints by 48.14, 37.96 and 32.40 per cent respondents respectively. The problem of ‘Non-availability of chemicals as recommended’ and ‘Difficult to use fumigants and soil amendments in the problematic soils’ has been reported by 27.77 and 13.88 per cent farmers, respectively.

In case of summer ploughing 62.96 per cent small farmers acknowledged that they are not doing the practice due to ‘Lack of resources’ while, 61.11 per cent can reported that they are not doing the practice because they are not convinced about
summer ploughing. About 39.81 per cent respondents reported the ‘Lack of finance’ and 29.62 per cent reported the problem of ‘Hard surface of land’ as a major constraint for summer ploughing.

In case of ploughing technology the table further reveals that 60.18 per cent small farmers reported that the ‘Lack of finance’ was a major problem for ploughing and reported by 60.18 per cent farmers. The lack of resources and high cost of improved implements were reported as constraints by 46.29 and 42.59 per cent farmers, respectively.

The findings in the conformity with the findings of Khan (1985), Shriballabh et al. (1991) and Venkateshwara (1991).

CONCLUSION

Based on finding it could be concluded that the important problems/constraints experienced by the majority of the respondents were ‘Lack of soil testing facility’, ‘Lack of knowledge about chemical used in soil treatment’, ‘Lack of resources’, ‘non convinced by importance of summer ploughing’, ‘Lack of finance’, ‘Costly chemicals’ and ‘Lack of extension personnel’s interest’.
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