# NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION AND PROCESSING OF ARID FRUIT KER (CAPPARIS DECIDUA)

Madhu Goyal\*, Reema Rathore\*\*, Vimla Dunkwal\* and Anju Bala\*\*\*

## ABSTRACT

The arid fruit *ker (capparis decidua)* was studied for its method of processing, preparation of recipes and its nutritional composition in fresh & processed forms. Processing of *ker* was standardised by using different possible techniques. The method of soaking in 10 percent saline with 50 percent buttermilk, for 10days with change of solution at alternate days was most acceptable. Drying of *ker* was standardised by using sun-drying and shade-drying of processed *ker*. Shade-dried *ker* were more acceptable (8.26) than sun-dried (6.75) on nine-point hedonic rating scale by the panellists.Fresh, fresh oven-dried, freshly processed and processed dried *ker* were analysed for their nutritional composition. Moisture, crude protein, fat, crude fibre, total ash, carbohydrate, energy, sodium, potassium, iron, zinc, manganese, copper and vitamin C (in fresh) contents of the *ker* ranged from 10.5-73.7g, 13.6-13.9g, 6.6-6.7g, 13.6-13.9g, 5.8-27.3g, 38.6-59.9g, 268.8-355.5kcal, 3.5-102.4mg, 161.2-167.0mg, 2.5-2.8mg, 1.42-1.52mg, 2.2-2.31mg, 1.68-1.82mg and 50mg/100g respectively. *Ker* based recipes like vegetable & pickle were found to be highly acceptable by the panellist.

## INTRODUCTION

Ker (Capparis decidua) is an unconventional dessert plant, which grows wildly without much care. Ker belongs to family capparceae & genus capparis. It is a straggling shrub, fruits are berry globous and start appearing in April to May & Oct. to Nov. distributed in west Rajasthan, Gujrat, Punjab, U.P. & Haryana (Chandra et al, 1994). Ker is used in the community for its varied medicinal value in diabetes, rheumatism, hypertension, vomiting, and inflammations and in curing cardiac troubles (Goyal and Sharma, 2006). Since ker is available for a limited period, it is essential to process or preserve it for off season use, when other vegetables are scarce. However, each of the processing methods employed to fresh ker may influence the quality of product in different ways. But information regarding chemical composition, sensory characteristics, uses & preservation of ker is scanty in literature. Therefore the present study was planned & conducted to identify nutritional composition and processing of arid fruit ker (Capparis decidua).

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

Locally available fresh Ker were purchased in April from Market in single lot. After cleaning & sorting, Ker were processed by soaking and drying. Soaking was carried out for ten days with change of water at alternate days.Ker were dried under two drying conditions i.e. open sunlight and in shade. Drying process was continued till the completion of drying. (Berry et al, 1979) Processing techniques were standardised through sensory evaluation on ninepoint scale. Fresh, fresh oven dried, freshly processed, and processed dried (sun-dried & shadedried) Ker were analysed for moisture, fat, ash, crude protein, crude fibre, carbohydrate & energy as suggested by (A.O.A.C., 1995), vitamin C was estimated by the methods suggested by the (Association of Vitamins chemists, 1966). Sodium & potassium were determined by flame photometer as suggested by (Allison et al., 1968), whereas iron, zinc, manganese & Copper were analysed by using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Bishnoi &Brar,1988). Standardisation of recipes based on ker- Recipes like Vegetable & pickles were standardised with both freshly processed & processed dried ker using

<sup>\*</sup> Professor, Department of Food and Nutrition, College of Home Science, SKRAU, Bikaner.

<sup>\*\*</sup> SRF, Department of Food and Nutrition, College of Home Science, SKRAU, Bikaner.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Research Scholar, Department of Food and Nutrition, College of Home Science, SKRAU, Bikaner.

sensory evaluation technique (Swaminathan, 1987).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Standardisation of processing technique

The most acceptable processing technique was noted to be soaking fresh *ker* in 10% saline containing 50% buttermilk for ten days with change of

solution every alternate day. The *ker* sample obtained by this processing technique scored 8.8 on ninepoint scale as compared to 5.83 to 7.85 scores obtained by other processing techniques. (Table-1).

While comparing sensory quality of *ker* dried by two drying methods, shade-dried sample was found to be better acceptable (8.26 scores) in com-

S. No.	Processing technique	Scores of sensory characteristics on nine point scale								
	(soaking &drying)	Appea- rance	Colour	Flavour	Texture	Taste	Overall mean score			
1.	Soaking fresh ker in plain water	6.0	5.9	5.6	5.8	5.8	5.83			
2.	Soaking fresh <i>ker</i> in saline solution	8.0	7.8	7.8	7.8	8.0	7.83			
3.	Soaking fresh <i>ker</i> in saline containing buttermilk	8.7	8.8	8.9	8.7	8.9	8.8			
4.	Sun dried	6.6	6.5	6.2	8.0	6.4	6.75			
5.	Shade dried	8.4	8.4	8.6	8.3	8.7	8.26			

## Table 1: Mean scores obtained by fresh ker after processing (soaking and drying)

S. No. Nutrient		All Nutrie	SE M±	F-value/ C.D.1%				
		Fresh <i>ker</i>	Fresh oven dried <i>ker</i>		Processed Sun dried	dried Shade-dried		
1.	Moisture(g)	69.5	10.5	73.7	10.6	10.9	0.7	3.142
2.	Crude protein (g)	13.9	13.8	13.6	13.7	13.7	0.491	NS
3.	Fat (g)	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.6	6.7	0.405	NS
4.	Crude fibre (g)	13.9	13.7	13.8	13.6	13.6	0.758	NS
5.	Total Ash (g)	5.9	5.8	27.3	27.3	27.2	0.738	3.308
6.	Carbohydrate (g)	59.7	59.9	38.6	38.7	38.7	1.139	5.105
7.	Energy (K Cal)	354.5	355.5	268.8	269.3	269.6	0.730	3.606
8.	Mineral (mg)							
a.	Sodium	3.6	3.5	102.4	101.4	101.7	0.762	3.413
b.	Potassium	161.2	161.5	166.8	165.7	167.0	0.593	2.661
c.	Iron	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.8	0.587	NS
d.	Zink	1.5	1.52	1.42	1.51	1.49	0.321	NS
e.	Manganese	2.2	2.25	2.31	2.30	2.28	0.571	NS
f.	Copper	1.8	1.82	1.68	1.70	1.76	0.487	NS
9.	Vitamin C (mg)	50	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil		

Note : All the value are on dry weight basis except moisture and vitamin C.

N.S. = Not significant at 5 percent level.

S. No.	Type of vegetabl	eScores of sen Appearance	•		s on nine p Texture		Overall Mean Sco		T-value
1.	Vegetable based on freshly processed <i>ker</i>	8.4	8.0	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.28	0.168	5.55*
2.	Vegetable based on processed dried <i>ker</i>	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.6	7.9	7.58	0.203	

#### Table 3: Mean scores obtained by vegetables of ker

\*Significant at 1percent level of significance

#### Table 4 : Mean Scores Obtained by Pickles of KER

S. No	). Types of Pickle	Scores of se Appearance	-			-		S.D. <u>+</u>	SEm <u>+</u>	F-cal
1.	Pickle with									
	only <i>ker</i>	8.4	8.2	8.3	8.6	8.3	8.38	0.134	0.178	1.75
2.	Pickle with ker									
	& sliced mango	8.2	8.0	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.13	0.094		
3.	Pickle with ker									
	& grated mange	<b>v</b> 8.1	7.8	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.92	0.171		

C.D. - NS

parison to sundrying ones (6.75scores) by the panellist. (Table-1)

#### Nutrient composition of fresh & processed ker

Fresh , fresh oven-dried, freshly processed & processed-dried(sun-dried& shade-dried) *ker* were analysed using standard methods for moisture, crude protein, fat, crude fibre, total ash, carbohydrate, energy, vitamin C, sodium, potassium, iron, zinc, manganese & copper contents. (Table-2).

Ascorbic acid content in fresh *ker* was 50 mg/ 100 g and it was found to be destructed 100 percent in fresh oven-dried & freshly processed *ker*. It was noted that there was a significant increase in total ash (21.42 %), sodium (98.28%)& potassium (5.1%) content. But a decrease in carbohydrate (21.14%) & energy (85.76 %) contents were noted after processing. The increase in total ash content, sodium and potassium content in processed *ker* may be due to addition of common salt and buttermilk during processing. The decrease in carbohydrate content may be attributed to leaching losses. Here variations in carbohydrate content must have led to the difference in the energy content of the *ker* samples.

The result of the present study comparable to the findings of Chouhan et al(1986) regarding crude protein, fat, crude fibre, total ash, carbohydrate, energy, vitamin C, iron, zinc, manganese & copper.

#### Development and evaluation of recipes based on ker

Sensory evaluation of *ker* recipes indicated that vegetable prepared with freshly processed *ker* was more acceptable scoring 8.28 on nine-point scale as compared to those prepared with processed dried *ker* scoring 7.58.(Table-3)

Similarly three types of *ker* pickles were prepared i.e. pickle only with *ker*, pickle with *ker* along with grated raw mango and pickle with *ker* along with sliced raw mango. The difference in the acceptability scores of pickles was found to be non significant as ranged between 7.92 and 8.38 (table-4). Nutritional composition and processing of arid fruit ker (Capparis Decidua)

## CONCLUSION

Thus it can be concluded that by using standardized processing technique and shade drying, nutritionally good and traditionally valued ker can be preserved for off-season use.

The daily diets of people can be enriched with the inclusion of well acceptable *ker* based recipes like vegetables and pickles thereby encouraging food security in arid regions.

## REFERENCES

- Allison, L. E., Bernsteen, L. and Wileox, L.V. 1968. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. p: 96-97.
- AOAC. 1995. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington D C, Vol 1, 16 edn.
- Association of Vitamin Chemists. 1966. Methods of Vitamin Assay, London, Interscience Publishers. p: 306

- Berry,R.E., Wangner, C. J. and Coleman. 1979. Solar drying of South Eastern fruit and vegetable. Conference Proceedings on changing use of future. USA, Cited from Food Science and Technology Abstract 13, 3, J 383 : 1981.
- Bishnoi, R. R. and Brar, S. P. S. 1988. A handbook of soil testing. Printed and Published by Punjab Agriculture University, Ludhiana. p:106
- Chandel, S. R. S. 1997. A handbook of Agricultural Statistics. Achal Prakashan Mandir. Pandu Nagar, Kanpur. p:B-42-B-63.
- Chandra, A. and Gupta, I. C. 1994. Arid Fruit Research Scientific Publishers Jodhpur. p: 298-302.
- Goyal, M. and Mathew, S. 1990. Physico-chemical charateristics of cauliflower dried under different drying conditions. Journal of Nutrition and Dietetics, Feb. 27(2):p:39
- Goyal, M. and Sharma, S. K. 2006. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge, vol. **8**(4), October, 2009, pp 581-585.
- Swaminathan, M. 1987. Food Science Chemistry and Experimental Foods. The Banglore Printing and Publishing Co. Ltd. Mysore Road, Banglore.

Received : September, 2013 Accepted : January, 2014